

# Technical Walkthrough

A system that tells an underwriter which productions should cost more to insure. Six stages, 45K raw rows in, one number I can defend.

---

## STACK

Pandas · TMDB API · PySpark  
Postgres · dbt · Airflow · Power BI

## PRESENTED BY

Paul Mandap

# The portfolio could not be priced **as it arrived**

45,486

RAW ROWS RECEIVED

75%

BUDGETS STORED AS ZERO

84%

REVENUES STORED AS ZERO

0

DIRECTOR COLUMNS IN SOURCE

- ▶ You cannot price a risk when you don't know what it cost or what it earned.
- ▶ Every design decision downstream came from **what was broken here**.

# Log then clean – nothing is removed silently

```
"""Collects every removed row with the reason."""  
def add(self, df, reason: str, source: str):  
    if df.empty:  
        return  
    tagged = df.copy()  
    tagged["quarantine_reason"] = reason  
    tagged["source_file"] = source  
    self._frames.append(tagged)
```

scripts/pandas/clean\_data.py – class Quarantine

| REASON               | ROWS | WHAT BROKE                                 |
|----------------------|------|--------------------------------------------|
| SHIFTED_ROW          | 3    | Columns shifted left – a date landed in id |
| DUPLICATE_EXACT      | 6    | Byte-identical copy                        |
| DUPLICATE_AFTER_NORM | 44   | Same film – visible only after normalising |

# A production company called "The"

```
# 227 films store "Jim Henson Company, The"  
# instead of "The Jim Henson Company"  
_INVERTED_ARTICLE = re.compile(  
    r'^([^\s,]+),\s*(The|A|An|La|Le|Der|Die)(?=[\s,]|$)')
```

scripts/pandas/clean\_data.py:194

- ▶ I checked first: no genre value contains a comma.
- ▶ The check was true. **The conclusion was wrong.**
- ▶ Found by an aggregation query – **"The" ranked 25th largest studio by box office**
- ▶ Not by eyeballing the CSV.

**Fixed at the source.** The repair lives in the cleaning layer, so the marts and dashboard can never inherit it.

# Zero means "not reported" — not "cost nothing"

```
for col in ("budget", "revenue"):
    numeric = pd.to_numeric(out[col], errors="coerce")
    out[col] = numeric.where(numeric != 0)
    out[f"{col}_scale_suspect"] = (
        out[col].notna() & (out[col] < threshold))
```

scripts/pandas/clean\_data.py — fix\_missing\_budget()

- ▶ 0 becomes **null** → never left as literal 0
- ▶ Never **imputed** — guessing a budget invents risk data

**The tradeoff:** only about 1 in 5 films end up priceable. That's the honest size, disclosed on every page.

# I had the English title. I chose not to use it.

```
{
  "id": 197057,
  "title": "And in the Morning They Woke Up",
  "original_title": "А поутру они проснулись",
  "original_language": "ru"
}
```

data/cache/tmdb/57/197057.json

**Scope discipline.** The TMDb sweep was scoped to money. Title never enters the enriched record.

| CHECK                         | VALUE            |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Films with non-Latin titles   | 38 of 45,433     |
| Of those, priceable           | 2                |
| Loss rate with them           | 35.7255%         |
| Loss rate without them        | 35.7111%         |
| <b>Impact on spine metric</b> | <b>0.014 pts</b> |

Every measure keys on **movie\_id** — never on the title.

# Only change what is provably wrong

| OURS          | TMDB        | OUTCOME     | KEPT          | COUNT  |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------|
| Missing       | Real        | FILLED      | TMDB's        | 10,465 |
| Below \$1,000 | Real        | CORRECTED   | TMDB's        | 133    |
| Real          | Differs >5% | DISCREPANCY | Ours — logged | 1,469  |
| Missing       | Missing     | UNVERIFIED  | null          | —      |

**Why disagreement keeps our value:** TMDB is live and has drifted. Toy Story reads \$962M there vs \$373M here, because TMDB now counts the 3D re-release. This model prices original theatrical performance.

4,332

PRICEABLE BEFORE

8,890

PRICEABLE AFTER

+105%

USABLE BOOK

44,793

DIRECTORS RECOVERED · 0 EXTRA CALLS

# Three dbt layers, one door in

## RAW

PySpark loads · explicit schemas

## STAGING

Rename + cast only. No joins.

## INTERMEDIATE

Joins, unnesting, all business logic

## MARTS

Star schema — the only layer Power BI reads

```
-- Rename and cast only, no joins and no business
-- logic: that is what intermediate is for.
select
  movie_id,
  budget::numeric(15, 2) as budget,
  revenue::numeric(15, 2) as revenue
from source
```

dbt\_project/models/staging/stg\_movies.sql

- ▶ **20 models · 104 tests** — every model has a description
- ▶ **source()** only in staging — everything else uses ref()
- ▶ **2 custom tests** guard the spine metric
- ▶ **Not medallion** — this is dbt's own layering, feeding a Kimball star



# Hashed keys — a rebuild **refreshes**, it never breaks

- ▶ Each key is built from the film's name, not a row number
- ▶ Rebuild the whole warehouse, and the keys come out exactly the same
- ▶ **A row-number key would silently break every relationship on rebuild**

| REBUILD DRILL · 20 AUG | RESULT                    |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Schemas dropped        | 5                         |
| Airflow triggers       | 1                         |
| Rebuild time           | 1m 22s                    |
| dbt test               | <b>PASS=104 · ERROR=0</b> |
| Verification diff      | <b>byte-identical</b>     |

**It even proved the retries work.** One task failed because Postgres was still booting. retries=3 absorbed it with no human intervention.

# The obvious index **did nothing**. I measured it.

| STAGE | INDEX ON THE TABLE          | ROWS DISCARDED | BUFFERS | TIME    | SIZE   |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|--------|
| 0     | None                        | 6,691          | 935     | 20.5 ms | —      |
| 1     | Plain release_year          | 6,691          | 935     | 17.3 ms | 336 kB |
| 2     | Partial: WHERE is_priceable | 0              | 753     | 14.9 ms | 80 kB  |

```
CREATE INDEX idx_movies_priceable_year  
ON movies (release_year) WHERE is_priceable;
```

scripts/sql/schema.sql — measured in queries/03 §3.4

- ▶ The query filters on **two** predicates — a plain index covers one, Postgres refused it
- ▶ The index that works is **76% smaller** than the one that didn't
- ▶ Honest limit: 27%, not 10×. The table is 4 MB and fully cached — the gap widens with scale.

# Three questions, asked at every decision

- ▶ What does this data actually mean?
- ▶ What happens if I get it wrong?
- ▶ How can I prove I got it right?

I'm still an intern, not a full data engineer.  
:)